Mental health organizations and the ostrich policy

Psychogenic nonepileptic seizures (PNES) and psychogenic symptoms in general are common. However they may be termed or classified, they constitute an important part of psychiatry and psychology. The largest professional mental health organizations (American Psychiatric Association and American Psychological Association [APAs]) both have abundant patient education material, but curiously have no information on this entire category of disorders. It is surprising that, with so many members for so many years, this ‘omission’ seems to have gone unnoticed.

PNES are very common at epilepsy centers, where they represent 30–40% of referrals [1,2]. Contrary to what is often implied in the literature, PNES are not a unique disorder, but rather a specific subtype of somatoform disorders [3-5]. PNES are, however, a good prototype of somatoform disorders since, unlike other psychogenic symptoms, they can be diagnosed with a very high degree of certainty (with EEG video monitoring). The vast majority of the literature on psychogenic symptoms deals with psychogenic seizures, followed (distantly) by psychogenic movement disorders [3,4]. However, psychogenic symptoms are not the monopoly of neurology and are common in every specialty [1]. It is estimated that at least 10% of medical services are for patients whose symptoms are psychogenic [1-5]. Of course, the differences between specialties are related to the ability to make that diagnosis with any confidence [1-2]. The degree of confidence is highest for PNES and lowest for pain, so much so that pain specialists do not endorse the diagnosis of ‘psychogenic pain’ [6]. Be that as it may, whether they like it or not, all clinicians will face such patients. Where should these patients go for help?

Outcome and follow-up are notoriously poor for this patient population [1,2,4,7]. For PNES, a common scenario is that patients are caught going back and forth between psychiatry and neurology. It is also well known that psychiatrists in general tend to be skeptical of the diagnosis [1,2,8], and will often insist that patients with proven PNES have ‘seizures’ and should be treated by their neurologist.

“If the American Psychiatric Association and American Psychological Association are not comfortable with this, who is going to take in on?”

Selim R Benbadis*
The APAs are two powerful professional organizations, who clearly recognize the importance of patient information. Indeed, they both have extensive patient education materials available, as shown in Boxes 1 & 2. Their websites are very professionally designed, and almost all possible topics are covered, including some unexpected ones such as ‘Mental health in American Indians and Alaska natives’ (Box 1) [101], ‘Immigration’ and ‘Money’ (Box 2) [102]. Not to minimize the importance of those particular topics, but it is rather surprising that neither APA has anything related to somatoform, psychogenic or somatic symptoms. This would be analogous to the American Academy of Neurology leaving out the entire category of, for example, motor neuron disease. If the APAs are not comfortable with this, who is going to take it on? Patient education is widely available, generally found helpful and available for practically every disease state in every specialty. For example, the American College of Rheumatology has patient information material on Paget’s disease and Takayasu’s arteritis, both of which are not very common. Patient education is always good, despite the fact that patients with Paget’s or Takayasu’s disease can be treated without great knowledge or understanding of their disease. Medications will work the same whether the patient learned about the disease or not. This is not true for mental health, and certainly not true for somatoform disorders, where it is even more important that patients understand their illness. Unlike pharmacotherapy, patients here have an active role in treatment. Some degree of insight and understanding by the patient is even more critical today, with recent evidence that cognitive behavior therapy can be helpful [9,10].

Accidental oversight or deliberate strategy?

“The American Psychiatric Association, founded in 1844, is the world’s largest psychiatric organization. It is a medical specialty society representing more than 36,000 psychiatric physicians from the United States and around the world. Its member physicians work together to ensure humane care and effective treatment for all persons with mental disorders … American Psychiatric Association is the voice and conscience of modern psychiatry.”

American Psychiatric Association

“The American Psychological Association is the largest scientific and professional organization representing psychology in the United States. American Psychological Association is the world’s largest association of psychologists, with more than 137,000 researchers, educators, clinicians, consultants and students as its members.”

American Psychological Association

Considering the breadth of the two associations, it seems remarkable that nobody has noticed this ‘omission’.

In addition to patient education, research in this area also seems to generate little interest. A review of the 2012 American Psychiatric Association annual meeting abstracts book (approximately 300 pages consisting of 589 abstracts) found no presentations on conversion, none on somatoform, one with the word ‘somatic’ and one on somatization [103]. A search in the American Journal of Psychiatry (2011) for the same terms in the article title found no articles on conversion, somatoform,
somatoform illness and somatization. By comparison, a search for ‘depression’ found 25 articles and four for ‘anxiety’. The recent data on cognitive behavior therapy for PNES were, illustratively, published in the neurology/epilepsy literature. Although there is an Academy of Psychosomatic Medicine with its own journal (Psychosomatics), even they do not seem interested in this discussion.

All in all, it certainly appears that both APAs are uncomfortable with this subject area. But is the answer really to adopt the ostrich policy? Neurologists are not trained to manage psychogenic symptoms. If mental health professionals are not interested, patients will continue to find themselves caught between neurology and psychiatry.
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**Box 2. ‘Psychology topics’ from the American Psychological Association.**

- Abortion
- Addictions
- ADHD
- Aging
- Alzheimer’s disease
- Anger
- Anxiety
- Autism
- Bipolar disorder
- Bullying
- Children
- Death and dying
- Depression
- Disability
- Eating disorders
- Education
- Emotional health
- Environment
- Ethics
- Hate
- Crimes
- Health disparities
- HIV and AIDS
- Human rights
- Hypnosis
- Immigration
- Intelligence
- Kids and the media
- Law and psychology
- Learning and memory
- Marriage and divorce
- Military
- Money
- Natural disasters
- Obesity
- Parenting
- Personality
- Post-traumatic stress disorder
- Race
- Safety and design
- Schizophrenia
- Sexual abuse
- Sexuality
- Shyness
- Sleep
- Socioeconomic status
- Sport and exercise
- Stress
- Suicide
- Teens
- Testing issues
- Therapy
- Trauma
- Violence
- Women and men
- Workplace issues

Note the remarkable absence of any information related to somatoform disorders, somatization disorder, conversion and factitious disorder. Information taken from [102].